IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

TUESDAY, THE 24TH JULY 2007 / 2ND SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22646 of 2007(U)

---

PETITIONER:

---

S.MAHESH, AGED 33 YEARS,
S/O. SREENIVASAN, RESIDING AT POLLAYIL HOUSE,
PERUMPADAPPU, COCHIN-682006.

BY ADV. SRI.JIMMY GEORGE (VATTATHARA)

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
CORPORATION OF COCHIN, SOUTHERN ZONAL OFFICE,
PALLURUTHY, COCHIN-6.

2. THE SECRETARY, CORPORATION OF COCHIN,
ERNAKULAM.

3. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT,
COLLECTORATE, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV.SRI.PRAVEEN.K.JOY, SC. FOR R1, R2
GOVT.PLEADER SRI.K.J.MOHAMMED ANZAR FOR R3

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**K.M.JOSEPH, J.**

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

**W.P.(C).No. 22646 OF 2007**

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

Complaint of the petitioner is levelled against Exts.P5 and P6. Ext.P5 is the stop memo issued by the first respondent. Ext.P6 is the provisional order issued under Section 406(1) of Kerala Municipality Act. According to the petitioner, petitioner has submitted Ext.P7.

As Ext.P5 is the stop memo and Ext.P6 is the provisional order, I think that a decision should be taken in the matter by the second respondent. The writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to consider Ext.P7 and any other objection which the petitioner may file within one week from today and a decision in the matter after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner will be taken by the second respondent within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment

**(K.M.JOSEPH, JUDGE)**

sv.